

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 26, 2022**, beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007**. Members of the Commission may attend either in person or by telephone, video or internet conferencing.

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into executive session for any of the purposes set forth in A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(1) through (7), as applicable to the agenda items listed, including for the purposes of receiving legal advice or the consideration of employment-related issues.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

	<i>Agenda Items</i>	<i>Presenter</i>
	Call to Order, Roll Call, and Pledge of Allegiance	Chair Steve Stahl
1.	Stakeholder Group Updates	Info
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roxie Heussner, AACOP • Elizabeth Ortiz, APAAC 	
2.	<p>Convene into executive session for legal advice, consultation and discussion regarding the following lawsuit: Grubbs v. State of Arizona</p> <p><u>Notice of Executive Session Pursuant to A.R.S. Section 38-431.03:</u> Upon a public majority vote of the members constituting a quorum, an Executive Session may be held for the discussion or consideration of the performance and salary of the Executive Director pursuant to A.R.S. Section 38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4). All business conducted in Executive Session is confidential pursuant to statute; and the public will be directed to leave the room and take all materials such as backpacks, purses, and briefcases.</p>	Chair Steve Stahl P-F-T
3.	Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes	Chair Steve Stahl
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • March 24, 2022 	P-F-T
4.	Executive Director's Report	Andrew LeFevre
	a. Staff and Program Updates	Info
	b. Finance Update	Info
5.	Committee Reports	
	A. Executive	Chair Steve Stahl
	1. Update on the activities of the Committee	Info
	2. Update on the activities of the Statistical Analysis Center	Jillian Ware, Info

	B. Drug, Gang & Violent Crime Control Program	Sheila Polk
	1. Update on the activities of Committee	Info
	2. FY 2023 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 36 Grant Awards	Tony Vidale, P-F-T
	3. FY 2023 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Award Recommendation	Tony Vidale, P-F-T
	C. Crime Victims	Steve Stahl
	1. Update on the activities of the Committee	Info
	2. Crime Victim Assistance Program	Heather Bohnet, P-F-T
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review, discussion, consideration, and possible action on the FY23 program funding recommendation 	
	3. Crime Victim Compensation Program	Heather Bohnet, P-F-T
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review, discussion, consideration, and possible action reallocation of the FY22 compensation benefits funding 	
	D. Information Technology Systems Improvement	David Byers
	1. Update on the activities of the Committee	Info
	E. Legislative	David Sanders
	1. Update on the activities of the Committee	Info
	2. Update on the 2022 Legislative Session	Molly Edwards, Info
6.	Commission Member Summaries of Current Events	Andrew LeFevre
	Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02(K), individual Commission members may present brief summaries of current events, but no discussion may occur, and no action may be taken regarding anything that is presented.	Info
7.	Call to the Public	Chair Steve Stahl
	<p>Those wishing to address the Commission/Committee need not request permission in advance.</p> <p>In order to facilitate virtual participation in the meeting, members of the public wishing to address the Commission/Committee will need to email Andy LeFevre at alefevre@azcjc.gov in <u>advance</u> of the meeting and provide the phone number where you can be reached. Staff will email you an acknowledgment of your request and call you during the Call to the Public for your comment.</p> <p>Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.</p>	
8.	Next Meeting	
	<p>The next Commission meeting takes place on Wednesday, July 13, 2022, at 10:30 a.m. at the Little America Hotel, 2515 E Butler Ave, Flagstaff, AZ 86004.</p> <p>A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office</p>	

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Chair Steve Stahl</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Stakeholder Group Update</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	The Commission will receive updates from criminal justice organizations that are of interest to the Members.
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

- Roxie Heussner, Executive Director, Arizona Association of Chiefs of Police
- Elizabeth Ortiz, Executive Director, Arizona Prosecuting Attorneys Advisory Council

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Chair Steve Stahl</i>
Agenda Title	Convene into executive session for legal advice, consultation and discussion regarding the following lawsuit: Grubbs v. State of Arizona
Recommended Action	<p>That the Commission receive an update from the Attorney General's Office regarding the outcome of the Grubbs v. State of Arizona lawsuit.</p> <p>It is the recommendation of the Chairperson that this discussion occurs in Executive Session, which is allowed under A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(1) upon a majority vote of the Commission Members constituting a quorum.</p>
Details/Discussion	Commission Members will receive an update regarding the outcome of the Grubbs v. State of Arizona lawsuit and be able to ask questions or seek additional information or guidance from the representative from the Attorney General's Office.
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	Once in Executive Session, this will be an information only item

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Chair Steve Stahl</i>	
Agenda Title	<i>Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes</i>	

Recommended Action	The Commission will consider approval of the minutes of its March 24, 2022 meeting.
Details/Discussion	None
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Meeting Minutes

March 24, 2022.

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission convened on **March 24, 2022**, at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

<u>Members Present (virtual):</u>	<u>Staff Present (in person):</u>
Steve Stahl , Chair, Law Enforcement Leader	Andrew LeFevre , Executive Director
Sheila Polk , Vice-Chair, Yavapai County Attorney	Tony Vidale , Deputy Director
Jean Bishop , Mohave County Board of Supervisors	Lloyd Y. Asato , Program Manager
Mark Brnovich , Attorney General, John Johnson representing	Matt Bileski , Program Manager
David Byers , Director, Administrative Office of the Courts	Heather Bohnet , Program Manager
Laura Conover , Pima County Attorney, Baird Greene representing	Wendy Boyle , Program Project Specialist
Jeffrey Glover , Chief, Tempe Police Department	Molly Edwards , Public Information Officer
Chris Nanos , Pima County Sheriff, Buddy Janes representing	Patty Hatvick , Finance Manager
Paul Penzone , Maricopa County Sheriff, Phil Dougherty representing	<u>Staff Present (virtually)</u>
Kara Riley , Chief, Oro Valley Police Department, Jason Larter representing	Jillian Ware , SAC Director
David Sanders , Pima County Chief Probation Officer, Bill Castaneda representing	<u>Guests Participating: (virtually)</u>
David Shinn , Director, Department of Corrections, Rehabilitation, and Reentry, Greg Lauchner representing	Amy Love , Outreach Director, Senator Mark Kelly, U.S. Senate
Heston Silbert , Director, Department of Public Safety, Tim Chung representing	Elizabeth Ortiz , Executive Director, APAAC
<u>Members Absent</u>	
Allister Adel , Maricopa County Attorney	
Mina Mendez , Office of Executive Clemency	

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, and Pledge of Allegiance

Chairperson Steve Stahl called the meeting to order at 1:30 pm and led everyone in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance. Ms. Boyle took roll call, and a quorum was declared.

2. Stakeholder Group Updates

Amy Love spoke on the currently available congressionally directed discretionary spending process (earmarks). She encouraged the Commission members to apply for the spending requests under <https://www.kelly.senate.gov/>. Elizabeth Ortiz shared that APAAC hosts a podcast called APAAC Across Arizona that targets the general public and invited the Commissioners to be a guest on future podcasts.

3. Minutes of the January 27, 2022 meeting

Chairperson Stahl called for a motion on the Commission meeting minutes on January 27, 2022. **Commissioner David Byers** entered a motion to approve the minutes. The motion seconded by **Commissioner Jean Bishop** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

4. Executive Director's Report

(a) Staff and Program Update

On the program side, Director LeFevre is looking to schedule meetings with the individual Commissioners or individual counties up until the fall. Updates on the two BJS prevention grants include the Youth Mentoring grant is active in three pilot sites. The COSSAP grant is getting ready for solicitation on two factors: the deflection program and the virtual peer counseling platform. Staff gave a presentation to the Commission on the Victims in the Courts; ACJC met with the new director of the Office of Victims of Crime to discuss concerns with the victim compensation funding formula. Staff met with the newly appointed director of BJA in April and some staff plan to attend the NCJA meeting in June. On the staff side, Matt Bileski was promoted to Program Manager of the Data Integration Analytics and Optimization and is working on collecting data dictionaries from the agencies and vendors. Director LeFevre also recognized Patty Hatvick, Finance Manager, retiring in April, and thanked her for her work at ACJC.

(b) Finance Update

Patty Hatvick gave the financial report as of February 28 that covered July 2021 through February 2022 of the fiscal year.

5. Committee Reports

A. Executive Committee

1. Update on the activities of the Committee

The Executive Committee met on March 10 to discuss the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental unexpended funding. Also, **Chair Stahl** proposed adding another subcommittee to help direct and provide support for the new data group and asked the Commissioners to reach out to him, Vice-Chair Polk, or Director LeFevre if they were interested in chairing or ideas for the subcommittee.

2. Update on Statistical Analysis Center Activities (SAC)

Jillian Ware reported that recruitment for AYS closed on March 4th, and 314 schools are registered and over 96,000 students registered. New SAC projects include 1. Finalization of an updated felony criminal code report. 2. Providing research support to the HB2166 criminal justice inventory project, 3. Working on ideas for the state justice statistics grant solicitation for BJS, 4. continuing the support to the GOYFF EPI working group and work related to opioid-related substance abuse data.

B. Drug, Gang & Violent Crime Control Program

1. Update on the activities of the Committee

Commissioner Sheila Polk deferred to **Tony Vidale**, Deputy Director, for an update of Drug Committee activities. The Committee will meet at the end of April or early May to discuss the staff's recommendation and evaluations on the FY23 grant applications for the Drug, Gang & Violent Crime Control Program grant and the Residential Substance Abuse Treatment grant. On the CESF grant that closed on January 2022, there were unexpended funds from the initial allocation of about \$11M. The Executive Committee awarded \$198,000 to victim compensation programs and APAAC for technology and pandemic-related expenditures, leaving \$1.6M to reallocate. Staff is making funds available to open up the grant for three weeks on March 14 and closing on April 1. The Executive Committee will meet to approve an allocation because the funds need to be sent out before the next full Commission meeting. The funding will end on January 31, 2023, and the monies have less than a year to be expended. Staff will develop an expenditure plan and methodology for a recommendation for the Executive Committee, as this needs approval before the Commission meeting. Any funds not expended would return to the federal government.

C. Crime Victims

1. Update on the activities of the Committee

Chair Stahl reported the Committee met on March 9, 2022, and referred to **Heather Bohnet**, Program Manager to explain the recommended action items.

2. Crime Victim Compensation Program

(a) FY22 Program Benefit Funding Reallocation

Commissioner Polk entered a motion to approve that compensation funds for the current FY22 be reallocated to the operational units as proposed in Table VS-1 of the agenda using the fund sources identified. The motion seconded by **Designee Greg Lauchner** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

(b) FY23 Compensation Program Funding

Commissioner Polk entered a motion to approve the total state, federal and ARPA allocated funding for the FY23 Crime Victim Compensation Program set at \$4,380,000, including \$2,000,000 in state compensation funds and \$1,480,000 in federal VOCA compensation funds, and \$900,000 in ARPA funds. The motion seconded by **Commissioner Jean Bishop** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

(c) FY23 Designation of Operational Units

Commissioner Polk entered a motion to approve the 15 County Attorney Offices' designation as operational units for the FY23 Crime Victim Compensation Program. The motion seconded by **Designee Greg Lauchner** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

(d) FY23 Program Funding Allocation

Commissioner Polk entered a motion to approve the allocation of \$4,380,000 in state and federal crime victim compensation funds, and ARPA funds, as proposed in Table VS-3, to operational units for the FY23 Crime Victim Compensation Program. The motion seconded by **Designee Phil Dougherty** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

D. Information Technology Systems Improvement

1. Update on the activities of Committee

Lloyd Y. Aasto, Program Manager, reported on the following updates on the various projects. 1. Last year, the legislature under HB2168 directed ACJC to develop its collection of use of force data using the FBI support data collection as a model. The initial design will be a collection portal modeled after the system. 2. ADRS - six more counties are expected to be on board by the end of this calendar year.

2. Full-Service Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant Program

Commissioner Dave Byers presented the FY23 Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory grant proposals and distributed funds for \$500,000 as of the same formula as last year; the lab directors met and agreed on the allocation. **Commissioner Byers** then entered a motion to approve the Full-Service Forensic Crime Laboratory grant allocations of \$500,000 for the FY23 grant program cycle of July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023. The motion seconded by **Commissioner Polk** was unanimously approved by the Commission.

E. Legislative

1. Update on the activities of the Committee

Designee Bill Castaneda reported the Joint Executive and Legislative Committees met regularly during the legislative session and referred to Molly Edwards to brief the Commissioner on relevant legislative matters.

2. Update on ACJC Priority Legislation and other introduced bills that impact the Criminal Justice System

Molly Edwards stated the legislature has been in session for 74 days; there were 1,764 bills introduced. A legislative report detailing the statistical research and trends will be ready for distribution at the July Commission meeting. The HB2132-victims funding bill passed house and senate appropriations and is in the budget discussions. HB2133 - Criminal Justice Monies, Penalty Assessment bill did not pass out the house senate judiciary. HB2326 is the criminal justice collection bill that requires ACJC to collect specific immigration data from the courts and post it on our website, the bill passed out of the senate judiciary. HB2583 DUI data collection bill requires ACJC to collect the data from law enforcement courts and crime labs and provide an annual report to the Governor. The best course of action is for a study committee and an amendment drafted with this language -assigned to senate appropriations. HB2573 Alternative Prosecution fund bill reduced to \$10M, pulled from senate judiciary-waiting to be calendered in appropriations, if passes, ACJC is the fiscal agent for the funding. HB2044 Juvenile Dependency, State Aid Appropriation - designates ACJC as a budgetary agent and appropriates \$2M from the general fund to state aid for juvenile dependency proceeding funds. Passed both chambers - moving on to rules and senate. HB2161-Parental Right, Schools - ACJC is working with a sponsor regarding AYS language.

6. Commission Member Summaries of Current Events

Commissioner Byers shared information from the Judicial Council that included adopting a new standard of probation conditions, adopting presumptive standards for virtual hearings and in-person hearings for all courts, and adopting the code section - standard data elements collected in every case type.

7. Call to the Public

No members of the public spoke.

8. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The following Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting occurs at **1:30 pm on Thursday, May 26, 2022**, at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

9. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 2:57 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew LeFevre
Executive Director
Studio recording is available upon request.

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Andrew LeFevre, Executive Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Executive Directors Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the program and staff activities
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Andrew LeFevre, Executive Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Executive Directors Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the agency's finances
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Chairperson Steve Stahl</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Executive Committee Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the Committee
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Jillian Ware, Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Statistical Analysis Center</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the activities of the Statistical Analysis Center
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Committee Chair Sheila Polk</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the Committee
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Tony Vidale, Deputy Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>FY 2023 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 36 Grant Awards</i>

Recommended Action	The Commission approves the award of the FY 2023 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Cycle 36 grant funds as displayed in Table DC4 of the agenda to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2022, and ending June 30, 2023, except the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2022, and end September 30, 2023.
Details/Discussion	Staff will offer a 15-minute presentation on the FY 2023 Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant recommendation. See attached background for more detail on this agenda item.
Fiscal Impact	Significant to recipient agencies
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

Recommendation

Staff recommends funding of \$9,282,215.76 and allocated according to Table DC 4 of the agenda. Under the proposal, \$3,314,722.10 would be allocated to apprehension projects, \$3,450,528.57 for prosecution projects, \$637,429.00 for a statewide forfeiture project, \$543,069.09 for forensic support projects, and \$1,336,467.00 for a drug adjudication project. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$3,195,233.46 from the Byrne/JAG, \$3,791,928.41 from the Drug Enforcement Fund, and \$2,295,053.89 in local match funds. The funding would support eligible costs for grant projects, allocating \$5,424,923.09 in personal services, \$2,427,222.96 in employee-related expenditures, and \$267,507.62 in overtime expenditures, \$959,775.69 in professional and outside services, and \$34,857.21 in equipment.

Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the 2020-2025 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy. The strategy includes the following four goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds, and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.
- Reduce violent crime by implementing strategies and methods to combat crime and ensure public safety and hold offenders accountable.
- Reduce illicit drug use by enhancing prevention efforts and educating the community about the harms posed by illegal drugs and their abuse.
- Reduce recidivism and implement effective re-entry efforts through comprehensive and collaborative strategies focused on successfully reintegrating offenders back into the community.

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the state's drug, gang, and violent crime problems. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

Funding supported projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, drug adjudication projects, and correctional substance abuse treatment.

Funding

Several funding streams support the program to carry out the statewide strategy successfully. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Fund (DEA) monies established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. The Commission currently applies a match requirement of 25 percent for this grant program. In FY 2022, \$9.068 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$3.019 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$3.807 million in DEA funds, and \$2.241 million in matching funds. Table DC 1 summarizes the FY 2022 grant awards, the FY 2023 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2023 proposed projects.

For FY 2023, the staff is proposing a program size of \$9,282,215.76. Of this amount, \$3,195,233.46 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$3,791,928.41 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,295,053.89 is from matching funds. The FY 2023 program size represents an increase of \$213,747.75, or 2.4 percent above the FY 2022 program size. Table DC 2 summarizes the change in program size by fund source for FY 2022 approved and FY 2023 recommended.

Program Size FY 2022 – FY 2023			
	FY 2022 Approved	FY 2023 Recommended	Change
Program Size	\$ 9,068,468.01	\$ 9,282,215.76	\$ 213,747.75
Fund Source:			
<i>Byrne/JAG</i>	\$ 3,019,476.76	\$ 3,195,233.46	\$ 175,756.70
<i>DEA Fund</i>	\$ 3,807,374.37	\$ 3,791,928.41	\$ (15,445.96)
<i>Match Funds</i>	\$ 2,241,616.88	\$ 2,295,053.89	\$ 53,437.01
Total	\$ 9,068,468.01	\$ 9,282,215.76	\$ 213,747.75

Applications Received

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program opened on January 31, 2022, and closed March 4, 2022, at 3 p.m. Thirty-six applications were received requesting a total of \$14,479,453.34. Of the 36 applications, 15 were apprehension projects, 16 were prosecution projects, one was a statewide forfeiture project, three were forensic support projects, and one was a drug adjudication project. Table DC 3 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

Evaluation and Scoring

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team and scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation, emphasizing the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that the recommendation would prioritize personnel expenditures in the grant recommendation allocation.

Allocation Guidelines

For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e., task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund positions and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.

Additional Considerations

In addition to the information provided in each application, staff considered other factors to determine allocations to individual projects. These factors included performance-based variables such as benchmarking factors and grant administration. Staff also considered data related to the illicit drug environment existing in each county to support the continued need for grant resources, specifically public health data related to drug use, Arizona Youth Survey data, and drug arrest data reported by the Department of Public Safety.

The recommendation for the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2022, to September 30, 2023. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrently with the federal fiscal year. As such, this project will only be allocated state funds, and a match is not applied. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2022, to June 30, 2023.

Compliance with State Statute and Federal Grant Requirements

The recommendation would comply with the provision in A.R.S. § 41-2402 that limits the distribution of monies in the Drug and Gang Enforcement Account up to 50 percent for law enforcement agencies, up to 50 percent for prosecution programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for court programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for corrections agencies, and up to 30 percent for criminal justice records integration. The recommendation would also meet the Byrne JAG variable pass-through requirement of 72.4%. BJA calculates the proportionate criminal justice spending by state and local government and requires the local government percentage to be passed down to local agencies in the state. This recommendation would pass through 84.1% of Byrne JAG funds to local governments.

The recommendation also meets the BJA requirement for "less than \$10,000" jurisdictions. Some units of local government are ineligible for a direct JAG award from BJA because of the small size of the BJA grant. Funding from these small jurisdictions is added up and allocated in the state JAG award. The amount for this grant year is \$222,244. The state can grant these amounts to the small jurisdictions or award the funding to a state police department. The recommendation meets this requirement through the award to the DPS forensic support project. Table DC 5 shows the FY 2022 awards and the FY 2023 recommended awards, broken out by county, statewide, and tribal agencies.

DC 1

Summary of FY 2023 Grant Requests & Recommended Awards

APPLICANT AGENCY	FY22 Grant Awards	FY23 Grant Request	FY23 Proposed Grant Awards	Distribution % (A.R.S. § 41-2402)
Apprehension				
Apache County SO	(\$ 233,455.05)	(\$ 282,307.84)	(\$ 241,395.96)	
Cochise County SO	(\$ 122,971.00)	(\$ 227,637.10)	(\$ 131,883.11)	
Flagstaff PD	(\$ 283,494.00)	(\$ 459,320.17)	(\$ 292,485.09)	
Gila County SO	(\$ 331,654.00)	(\$ 719,390.15)	(\$ 331,654.00)	
Graham County SO	(\$ 26,933.87)	(\$ 26,933.87)	(\$ 26,933.87)	
Greenlee County SO	(\$ 31,967.00)	(\$ 47,110.00)	(\$ 43,945.90)	
Kingman PD	(\$ 336,832.00)	(\$ 517,080.15)	(\$ 336,832.00)	
La Paz County SO	(\$ 111,735.47)	(\$ 297,961.24)	(\$ 130,358.04)	
Navajo County SO	(\$ 238,833.00)	(\$ 443,273.94)	(\$ 246,731.09)	
Pinal County SO	(\$ 141,380.00)	(\$ 233,586.58)	(\$ 153,594.34)	
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	(\$ 116,525.00)	(\$ 214,840.50)	(\$ 124,778.50)	
Santa Cruz County SO	(\$ 45,368.48)	(\$ 49,263.88)	(\$ 39,649.90)	
Tucson PD	(\$ 687,640.00)	(\$ 1,979,923.03)	(\$ 687,640.00)	
Yavapai SO	(\$ 353,946.00)	(\$ 407,065.98)	(\$ 353,946.00)	
Yuma SO	(\$ 241,556.00)	(\$ 172,894.30)	(\$ 172,894.30)	
Sub-Total	(\$ 3,304,290.87)	(\$ 6,078,588.73)	(\$ 3,314,722.10)	35.7%
Prosecution				
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	(\$ 102,000.50)	(\$ 102,000.20)	(\$ 102,000.20)	
Apache County Attorney	(\$ 96,389.87)	(\$ 117,076.51)	(\$ 105,480.14)	
Cochise County Attorney	(\$ 152,218.00)	(\$ 148,186.18)	(\$ 148,186.18)	
Coconino County Attorney	(\$ 132,461.00)	(\$ 169,450.00)	(\$ 140,021.08)	
Gila County Attorney	(\$ 73,954.52)	(\$ 122,345.08)	(\$ 91,758.81)	
Graham County Attorney	(\$ 60,870.00)	(\$ 155,600.00)	(\$ 77,800.00)	
Greenlee County Attorney	(\$ 42,849.00)	(\$ 134,458.06)	(\$ 67,229.03)	
La Paz County Attorney	(\$ 79,396.02)	(\$ 102,619.00)	(\$ 102,619.00)	
Maricopa County Attorney	(\$ 1,076,521.00)	(\$ 1,510,353.36)	(\$ 1,076,521.00)	
Mohave County Attorney	(\$ 156,292.00)	(\$ 715,105.27)	(\$ 172,121.10)	
Navajo County Attorney	(\$ 123,117.00)	(\$ 215,080.86)	(\$ 135,474.79)	
Pima County Attorney	(\$ 359,192.01)	(\$ 509,191.58)	(\$ 359,192.01)	
Pinal County Attorney	(\$ 184,771.00)	(\$ 257,840.00)	(\$ 193,715.50)	
Tucson City Attorney	(\$ 269,371.00)	(\$ 395,966.80)	(\$ 272,421.75)	
Yavapai County Attorney	(\$ 132,133.22)	(\$ 151,262.16)	(\$ 139,190.28)	
Yuma County Attorney	(\$ 263,373.00)	(\$ 323,154.00)	(\$ 266,797.70)	
Sub-Total	(\$ 3,304,909.14)	(\$ 5,129,689.06)	(\$ 3,450,528.57)	37.2%
Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities				
Attorney General's Office	(\$ 637,429.00)	(\$ 660,858.20)	(\$ 637,429.00)	
Sub-Total	(\$ 637,429.00)	(\$ 660,858.20)	(\$ 637,429.00)	6.9%
Forensic Support				
Arizona Department of Public Safety	(\$ 380,233.00)	(\$ 774,524.02)	(\$ 380,233.00)	
Mesa PD - Forensics	(\$ 39,832.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	
Tucson PD - Forensics	(\$ 65,307.00)	(\$ 84,465.89)	(\$ 69,318.88)	
Apache Junction PD - Forensics	(\$ -)	(\$ 93,517.21)	(\$ 93,517.21)	
Sub-Total	(\$ 485,372.00)	(\$ 952,507.12)	(\$ 543,069.09)	5.9%
Drug Adjudication and Corrections				
Administrative Office of the Courts	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	(\$ 1,657,810.23)	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	
Department of Corrections	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	
Sub-Total	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	(\$ 1,657,810.23)	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	14.4%
TOTAL	(\$ 9,068,468.01)	(\$ 14,479,453.34)	(\$ 9,282,215.76)	100%

DC 3

FY 2023 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests

Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	P & O Services	Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY23 Requested
Apprehension								
Apache County SO	(\$ 211,604.42)	(\$ -)	(\$ 63,703.42)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 7,000.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 282,307.84)
Cochise County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 147,680.00)	(\$ 79,957.10)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 227,637.10)
Flagstaff PD	(\$ 149,651.00)	(\$ 34,755.00)	(\$ 125,107.37)	(\$ 130,686.80)	(\$ -)	(\$ 19,120.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 459,320.17)
Gila County SO	(\$ 407,087.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 144,597.45)	(\$ 167,705.70)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 719,390.15)
Graham County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 5,490.00)	(\$ 1,443.87)	(\$ 20,000.00)	(\$ -)			(\$ 26,933.87)
Greenlee County SO	(\$ 47,110.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)			(\$ 47,110.00)
Kingman PD	(\$ 60,465.60)	(\$ -)	(\$ 23,097.86)	(\$ 433,516.69)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 517,080.15)
La Paz County SO	(\$ 208,248.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 89,713.24)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 297,961.24)
Navajo County SO	(\$ 281,855.83)	(\$ -)	(\$ 161,418.11)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 443,273.94)
Pinal County SO	(\$ 59,092.80)	(\$ 91,802.00)	(\$ 82,691.78)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)			(\$ 233,586.58)
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	(\$ -)	(\$ 214,840.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)			(\$ 214,840.50)
Santa Cruz County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 34,380.84)	(\$ 14,883.04)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 49,263.88)
Tucson PD	(\$ 747,614.40)	(\$ -)	(\$ 764,975.26)	(\$ 467,333.37)	(\$ -)			(\$ 1,979,923.03)
Yavapai SO	(\$ 155,047.28)	(\$ -)	(\$ 84,089.51)	(\$ 167,929.19)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 407,065.98)
Yuma SO	(\$ 131,365.12)	(\$ -)	(\$ 41,529.18)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 172,894.30)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 2,459,141.45)	(\$ 528,948.34)	(\$ 1,677,207.19)	(\$ 1,387,171.75)	(\$ -)	(\$ 26,120.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 6,078,588.73)
Prosecution								
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	(\$ 56,700.00)		(\$ 45,300.20)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,000.20)
Apache County Attorney	(\$ 88,055.97)	(\$ -)	(\$ 29,020.54)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 117,076.51)
Cochise County Attorney	(\$ 114,793.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 33,393.18)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 148,186.18)
Coconino County Attorney	(\$ 122,323.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 47,127.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 169,450.00)
Gila County Attorney	(\$ 95,132.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 27,213.08)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 122,345.08)
Graham County Attorney	(\$ 109,861.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 45,739.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 155,600.00)
Greenlee County Attorney	(\$ 108,798.06)	(\$ -)	(\$ 25,660.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 134,458.06)
La Paz County Attorney	(\$ 73,216.00)		(\$ 29,403.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,619.00)
Maricopa County Attorney	(\$ 1,135,370.88)	(\$ -)	(\$ 374,982.48)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,510,353.36)
Mohave County Attorney	(\$ 500,073.60)	(\$ -)	(\$ 215,031.67)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 715,105.27)
Navajo County Attorney	(\$ 153,739.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 61,341.86)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 215,080.86)
Pima County Attorney	(\$ 388,141.82)	(\$ -)	(\$ 121,049.76)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 509,191.58)
Pinal County Attorney	(\$ 214,285.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 43,555.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 257,840.00)
Tucson City Attorney	(\$ 229,340.80)	(\$ -)	(\$ 166,626.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 395,966.80)
Yavapai County Attorney	(\$ 117,358.47)	(\$ -)	(\$ 33,903.69)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 151,262.16)
Yuma County Attorney	(\$ 225,484.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 97,670.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 323,154.00)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 3,732,672.60)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,397,016.46)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 5,129,689.06)
Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities								
Attorney General's Office	(\$ 486,228.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 174,630.20)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 660,858.20)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 486,228.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 174,630.20)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 660,858.20)
Forensic Support								
Arizona Department of Public Safety	(\$ 565,346.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 209,178.02)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 774,524.02)
Tucson PD - Forensics	(\$ 55,120.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 29,345.89)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 84,465.89)
Apache Junction PD - Forensics	(\$ 58,660.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 93,517.21)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 679,126.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 238,523.91)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 952,507.12)
Drug Adjudication and Corrections								
Administrative Office of the Courts	(\$ 1,043,801.72)	(\$ -)	(\$ 609,518.51)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 4,490.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,657,810.23)
Department of Corrections				(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)		(\$ -)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 1,043,801.72)	(\$ -)	(\$ 609,518.51)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 4,490.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,657,810.23)
TOTAL	(\$ 8,400,969.77)	(\$ 528,948.34)	(\$ 4,096,896.27)	(\$ 1,387,171.75)	(\$ -)	(\$ 30,610.00)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 14,479,453.34)

DC 4 FY 2023 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Recommended Awards

Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Prot./		Total Proposed Project	Federal Funds	State Funds	Match Funds
				Outside Svs	Equipment				
Apprehension									
Apache County SO	(\$ 135,352.90)	(\$ -)	(\$ 46,371.69)	(\$ 59,671.37)	(\$ -)	(\$ 241,395.96)	(\$ 84,005.79)	(\$ 97,041.18)	(\$ 60,348.99)
Cochise County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 83,380.60)	(\$ 48,502.51)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 131,883.11)	(\$ 45,895.32)	(\$ 53,017.01)	(\$ 32,970.78)
Flagstaff PD	(\$ 135,007.25)	(\$ -)	(\$ 101,255.44)	(\$ 56,222.40)	(\$ -)	(\$ 292,485.09)	(\$ 101,784.81)	(\$ 117,579.01)	(\$ 73,121.27)
Gila County SO	(\$ 186,350.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 61,451.15)	(\$ 83,852.85)	(\$ -)	(\$ 331,654.00)	(\$ 115,415.59)	(\$ 133,324.91)	(\$ 82,913.50)
Graham County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 5,490.00)	(\$ 1,443.87)	(\$ 20,000.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 26,933.87)	(\$ 9,372.99)	(\$ 10,827.42)	(\$ 6,733.47)
Greenlee County SO	(\$ 43,945.90)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 43,945.90)	(\$ 15,293.17)	(\$ 17,666.25)	(\$ 10,986.48)
Kingman PD	(\$ 60,465.60)	(\$ -)	(\$ 23,097.86)	(\$ 253,268.54)	(\$ -)	(\$ 336,832.00)	(\$ 117,217.54)	(\$ 135,406.46)	(\$ 84,208.00)
La Paz County SO	(\$ 52,062.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 22,428.31)	(\$ 55,867.73)	(\$ -)	(\$ 130,358.04)	(\$ 45,364.60)	(\$ 52,403.93)	(\$ 32,589.51)
Navajo County SO	(\$ 110,250.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 45,623.03)	(\$ 90,858.06)	(\$ -)	(\$ 246,731.09)	(\$ 85,862.42)	(\$ 99,185.90)	(\$ 61,682.77)
Pinal County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 27,468.00)	(\$ 6,685.43)	(\$ 119,440.91)	(\$ -)	(\$ 153,594.34)	(\$ 53,450.83)	(\$ 61,744.92)	(\$ 38,398.59)
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	(\$ -)	(\$124,778.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 124,778.50)	(\$ 43,422.92)	(\$ 50,160.96)	(\$ 31,194.63)
Santa Cruz County SO	(\$ -)	(\$ 26,390.52)	(\$ 13,259.38)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 39,649.90)	(\$ 13,798.17)	(\$ 15,939.26)	(\$ 9,912.48)
Tucson PD	(\$ 219,469.80)	(\$ -)	(\$ 247,576.37)	(\$ 220,593.83)	(\$ -)	(\$ 687,640.00)	(\$ 239,298.72)	(\$ 276,431.28)	(\$ 171,910.00)
Yavapai SO	(\$ 124,476.52)	(\$ -)	(\$ 61,540.29)	\$167,922.19	(\$ -)	(\$ 353,946.00)	(\$ 123,173.21)	(\$ 142,286.29)	(\$ 88,486.50)
Yuma SO	(\$ 131,365.12)	(\$ -)	(\$ 41,529.18)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 172,894.30)	(\$ 60,167.22)	(\$ 69,503.51)	(\$ 43,223.58)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 1,198,745.09)	(\$267,507.62)	(\$ 720,764.51)	(\$ 1,127,704.88)	(\$ -)	(\$ 3,314,722.10)	(\$ 1,153,523.29)	(\$ 1,332,518.28)	(\$ 828,680.53)
Prosecution									
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	(\$ 56,700.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 45,300.20)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,000.20)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,000.20)	(\$ -)
Apache County Attorney	(\$ 79,005.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ 26,474.64)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 105,480.14)	(\$ 36,707.09)	(\$ 42,403.02)	(\$ 26,370.04)
Cochise County Attorney	(\$ 114,793.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 33,393.18)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 148,186.18)	(\$ 51,568.79)	(\$ 59,570.84)	(\$ 37,046.55)
Coconino County Attorney	(\$ 100,877.75)	(\$ -)	(\$ 39,143.33)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 140,021.08)	(\$ 48,727.34)	(\$ 56,288.47)	(\$ 35,005.27)
Gila County Attorney	(\$ 71,349.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 20,409.81)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 91,758.81)	(\$ 31,932.07)	(\$ 36,887.04)	(\$ 22,939.70)
Graham County Attorney	(\$ 54,930.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ 22,869.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 77,800.00)	(\$ 27,074.40)	(\$ 31,275.60)	(\$ 19,450.00)
Greenlee County Attorney	(\$ 54,399.03)	(\$ -)	(\$ 12,830.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 67,229.03)	(\$ 23,395.70)	(\$ 27,026.07)	(\$ 16,807.26)
La Paz County Attorney	(\$ 73,216.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 29,403.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,619.00)	(\$ 35,711.41)	(\$ 41,252.84)	(\$ 25,654.75)
Maricopa County Attorney	(\$ 814,366.21)	(\$ -)	(\$ 262,154.79)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,076,521.00)	(\$ 687,384.61)	(\$ 120,006.14)	(\$ 269,130.25)
Mohave County Attorney	(\$ 120,364.40)	(\$ -)	(\$ 51,756.70)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 172,121.10)	(\$ 59,898.14)	(\$ 69,192.68)	(\$ 43,030.28)
Navajo County Attorney	(\$ 96,836.88)	(\$ -)	(\$ 38,637.91)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 135,474.79)	(\$ 47,145.23)	(\$ 54,460.87)	(\$ 33,868.70)
Pima County Attorney	(\$ 269,784.15)	(\$ -)	(\$ 89,407.86)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 359,192.01)	(\$ 124,998.82)	(\$ 144,395.19)	(\$ 89,798.00)
Pinal County Attorney	(\$ 160,992.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ 32,723.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 193,715.50)	(\$ 67,412.99)	(\$ 77,873.63)	(\$ 48,428.88)
Tucson City Attorney	(\$ 159,666.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 112,755.75)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 272,421.75)	(\$ 94,802.77)	(\$ 109,513.54)	(\$ 68,105.44)
Yavapai County Attorney	(\$ 107,992.36)	(\$ -)	(\$ 31,197.92)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 139,190.28)	(\$ 48,438.22)	(\$ 55,954.49)	(\$ 34,797.57)
Yuma County Attorney	(\$ 188,783.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 78,014.70)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 266,797.70)	(\$ 92,845.60)	(\$ 107,252.68)	(\$ 66,699.43)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 2,524,056.28)	(\$ -)	(\$ 926,472.29)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 3,450,528.57)	(\$ 1,478,043.17)	(\$ 1,135,353.30)	(\$ 837,132.09)
Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities									
Attorney General's Office	(\$ 469,349.83)	(\$ -)	(\$ 168,079.17)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 637,429.00)	(\$ 221,825.29)	(\$ 256,246.46)	(\$ 159,357.25)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 469,349.83)	(\$ -)	(\$ 168,079.17)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 637,429.00)	(\$ 221,825.29)	(\$ 256,246.46)	(\$ 159,357.25)
Forensic Support									
Arizona Department of Public Safety	(\$ 277,542.34)	(\$ -)	(\$ 102,690.66)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 380,233.00)	(\$ 285,174.75)	(\$ 0.00)	(\$ 95,058.25)
Tucson PD - Forensics	(\$ 45,235.50)	(\$ -)	(\$ 24,083.38)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 69,318.88)	(\$ 24,122.97)	(\$ 27,866.19)	(\$ 17,329.72)
Apache Junction PD - Forensics	(\$ 58,660.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 93,517.21)	(\$ 32,543.99)	(\$ 37,593.92)	(\$ 23,379.30)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 381,437.84)	(\$ -)	(\$ 126,774.04)	(\$ -)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 543,069.09)	(\$ 341,841.71)	(\$ 65,460.10)	(\$ 135,767.27)
Drug Adjudication and Corrections									
Administrative Office of the Courts	(\$ 851,334.05)	(\$ -)	(\$ 485,132.95)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,002,350.25)	(\$ 334,116.75)
Department of Corrections	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)
SUB-TOTAL	(\$ 851,334.05)	(\$ -)	(\$ 485,132.95)	(\$ -)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,336,467.00)	(\$ -)	(\$ 1,002,350.25)	(\$ 334,116.75)
TOTAL	(\$ 5,424,923.09)	(\$267,507.62)	(\$ 2,427,222.96)	(\$ 959,775.69)	(\$ 34,857.21)	(\$ 9,282,215.76)	(\$ 3,195,233.46)	(\$ 3,791,928.41)	(\$ 2,295,053.89)

DC 5 FY 2022 Awards and FY 2023 Recommended Awards by County				
County	FY 22 Approved	% Allocation	FY 23 Recommended	% Allocation
Apache County				
Apache County Attorney	(\$ 96,389.87)		(\$ 105,480.14)	
Apache County Sheriff	(\$ 233,455.05)		(\$ 241,395.96)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 329,844.92)	3.64%	(\$ 346,876.10)	3.74%
Cochise County				
Cochise County Attorney	(\$ 152,218.00)		(\$ 148,186.18)	
Cochise County Sheriff	(\$ 122,971.00)		(\$ 131,883.11)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 275,189.00)	3.03%	(\$ 280,069.29)	3.02%
Coconino County				
Coconino County Attorney	(\$ 132,461.00)		(\$ 140,021.08)	
Flagstaff Police Department	(\$ 283,494.00)		(\$ 292,485.09)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 415,955.00)	4.59%	(\$ 432,506.17)	4.66%
Gila County				
Gila County Attorney	(\$ 73,954.52)		(\$ 91,758.81)	
Gila County Sheriff	(\$ 331,654.00)		(\$ 331,654.00)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 405,608.52)	4.47%	(\$ 423,412.81)	4.56%
Graham County				
Graham County Attorney	(\$ 60,870.00)		(\$ 77,800.00)	
Graham County Sheriff	(\$ 26,933.87)		(\$ 26,933.87)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 87,803.87)	0.97%	(\$ 104,733.87)	1.13%
Greenlee County				
Greenlee County Attorney	(\$ 42,849.00)		(\$ 67,229.03)	
Greenlee County Sheriff	(\$ 31,967.00)		(\$ 43,945.90)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 74,816.00)	0.83%	(\$ 111,174.93)	1.20%
La Paz County				
La Paz County Attorney	(\$ 79,396.02)		(\$ 102,619.00)	
La Paz County Sheriff	(\$ 111,735.47)		(\$ 130,358.04)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 191,131.49)	2.11%	(\$ 232,977.04)	2.51%
Maricopa County				
Maricopa County Attorney	(\$ 1,076,521.00)		(\$ 1,076,521.00)	
Mesa Police Department	(\$ 39,832.00)		(\$ -)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 1,116,353.00)	12.31%	(\$ 1,076,521.00)	11.60%
Mohave County				
Mohave County Attorney	(\$ 156,292.00)		(\$ 172,121.10)	
Kingman Police Department	(\$ 336,832.00)		(\$ 336,832.00)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 493,124.00)	5.44%	(\$ 508,953.10)	5.48%
Navajo County				
Navajo County Attorney	(\$ 123,117.00)		(\$ 135,474.79)	
Navajo County Sheriff	(\$ 238,833.00)		(\$ 246,731.09)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 361,950.00)	3.99%	(\$ 382,205.88)	4.12%
Pima County				
Pima County Attorney	(\$ 359,192.01)		(\$ 359,192.01)	
Tucson City Prosecutor	(\$ 269,371.00)		(\$ 272,421.75)	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	(\$ 687,640.00)		(\$ 687,640.00)	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	(\$ 65,307.00)		(\$ 69,318.88)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 1,381,510.01)	15.23%	(\$ 1,388,572.64)	14.96%
Pinal County				
Pinal County Attorney	(\$ 184,771.00)		(\$ 193,715.50)	
Pinal County Sheriff	(\$ 141,380.00)		(\$ 153,594.34)	
Apache Junction Police Department	(\$ -)		(\$ 93,517.21)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 326,151.00)	3.60%	(\$ 440,827.05)	4.75%
Santa Cruz County				
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	(\$ 45,368.48)		(\$ 39,649.90)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 45,368.48)	0.50%	(\$ 39,649.90)	0.43%
Yavapai County				
Yavapai County Attorney	(\$ 132,133.22)		(\$ 139,190.28)	
Yavapai County Sheriff	(\$ 353,946.00)		(\$ 353,946.00)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 486,079.22)	5.36%	(\$ 493,136.28)	5.31%
Yuma County				
Yuma County Attorney	(\$ 263,373.00)		(\$ 266,797.70)	
Yuma County Sheriff	(\$ 241,556.00)		(\$ 172,894.30)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 504,929.00)	5.57%	(\$ 439,692.00)	4.74%
Tribal				
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	(\$ 116,525.00)		(\$ 124,778.50)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 116,525.00)	1.28%	(\$ 124,778.50)	1.34%
Statewide				
Administrative Office of the Courts	(\$ 1,336,467.00)		(\$ 1,336,467.00)	
Attorney General - Forefeiture	(\$ 637,429.00)		(\$ 637,429.00)	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	(\$ 102,000.50)		(\$ 102,000.20)	
Department of Corrections	(\$ -)		(\$ -)	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	(\$ 380,233.00)		(\$ 380,233.00)	
<i>Total</i>	(\$ 2,456,129.50)	27.08%	(\$ 2,456,129.20)	26.46%
Grand Total	(\$ 9,068,468.01)		(\$ 9,282,215.76)	

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Tony Vidale, Deputy Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>FY 2023 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Awards</i>

Recommended Action	The Commission approves the award of \$358,048.42 in federal and local cash match funds for the FY 2023 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment grant program beginning July 1, 2022, and ending June 30, 2023.
Details/Discussion	See attached.
Fiscal Impact	Significant for recipient agencies
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

FY 2023 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Awards

Recommendation

Staff proposes an award of \$402,089.86 in federal and local cash match funds for FY 2023 RSAT grant awards beginning July 1, 2022 and ending June 30, 2023 as shown in Table DC6. Of this amount, \$301,567.40 is from federal funds and \$100,522.47 is from local match funds.

This recommendation would fund the Arizona Department of Corrections, Rehabilitation, and Reentry (Gemini Program), Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections (RSAT Program), the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office (Reach Out Program), and Chicanos Por La Causa (Corazon Treatment Center). This recommendation would comply with the RSAT program requirement that at least 10% of the award be allocated to local correctional or detention facilities.

Program Background

The Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) program assists governments in developing and implementing substance abuse treatment programs in state and local correctional and detention facilities and creating and maintaining community-based post-release treatment services for offenders. These services allow offenders to overcome substance abuse and prepare for re-entry into the community.

The RSAT program is divided into three areas: 1. Residential (6-12 months) program, 2. Jail-based (at least three months) program, and 3. Post-release treatment program. The RSAT program requires that 10 percent of the federal award be made available to local correctional or detention facilities. If applications are not received for this program, these funds can be used by the residential in-correctional setting programs. There was one jail-based application received during this solicitation.

RSAT may fund post-release programs that provide treatment to offenders for a period not to exceed one year after release. For the FY 2023 RSAT grant, ACJC received one post-release treatment application.

Each applicant must meet the federal eligibility requirements for RSAT programs within the correctional residential setting. The requirements include:

- At least six months and no more than 12 months of treatment for Residential programs;
- At least three months for Jail-based programs;
- Participation limited to the last six to 12 months remaining in the inmate's confinement to ensure they are released after completing the treatment and not returned to the general population;
- Residential housing and treatment facilities are provided away from the general correctional population;
- Focus on the substance abuse problems of the inmate using effective scientific practices;
- Development of the inmate's cognitive, behavioral, social, vocational and other skills to help solve the substance abuse problem; and
- Implement or continue urinalysis or other proven reliable forms of drug testing.

Funding

Federal funding is provided by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. Table DC7 below provides a breakdown of available funding for the FY 2023 RSAT grant program totaling \$1,991,907. This amount includes a balance of \$436,357 in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2019 funding, FFY 2020 funding of \$735,738, and FFY 2021 funding of \$819,812. Staff is recommending a program size of \$402,089.86 for the FY 2023 grant program. Of this amount, \$301,567.40 is RSAT grant funding and \$100,522.47 is local match funding. The RSAT grant program requires a 25% match. This recommendation would leave a balance of \$1,690,339.60 for future RSAT grant projects.

Table DC7

FY 2023 RSAT Grant Program Funding	
Available for FY 2023 RSAT Program:	
FFY 2019 Grant Available Balance	\$436,357.00
FFY 2020 Grant Available Balance	\$735,738.00
FFY 2021 Grant Available Balance	\$819,812.00
<i>Total RSAT Funding Available</i>	<i>\$1,991,907.00</i>
Proposed FY 2023 Program Size:	
RSAT Grant funding	\$301,567.40
Local Match funding	\$100,522.47
<i>Total Program Size</i>	<i>\$402,089.86</i>
RSAT Funding Available for FY 2024:	
Total RSAT Funding Available	\$1,991,907.00
FY 2023 RSAT Grant Funding - proposed	\$301,567.40
<i>Total RSAT Funding Available</i>	<i>\$1,690,339.60</i>

Applications Received

On January 31, 2022, the FY 2023 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment grant solicitation opened for applicants. The deadline for submission of applications was Friday, March 11, at 3:00 p.m. Four applications were submitted by the deadline requesting a total of \$432,089.86 in federal and local match funds. Of this amount, \$324,067.40 in federal funds and \$108,022.46 in local match funds were requested. The four agencies that submitted applications were the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC), the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC), Chicanos Por La Causa, and the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office. The requested amounts are shown in Table DC6.

Evaluation and Scoring

All grant applications met the minimum requirements established in the RSAT guidelines. Each grant application was reviewed by a team of individuals and scored based on established criteria contained in the grant announcement. The applications were evaluated using the following scoring criteria:

- The project falls within the RSAT guidelines
- Application was submitted on time and all requested information was provided
- The need for services in the community
- The program adequately addresses the need for services in the community
- Coordination efforts with other agencies
- Goals and objectives that are reasonable and achievable
- Performance measures clearly identify the success of the program
- Budget costs are reasonable and allowable (matching funds are included in the request)
- Adequate internal financial controls
- Programs previously funded by the Commission have met the requirements of the grant In addition, priority points were given to projects that had an aftercare component established.

Table DC6

FY 2023 RESIDENTIAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT (RSAT) GRANT

Requested													
Applicant Agency	Personnel			Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of State Travel	Operating			Equipment	TOTAL REQUESTED	Federal	Match Provided
	Salary	ERE	Overtime Expense				Registration/ Training	Supplies	Other Operating				
ADOCRR - Gemini Program	\$60,000.00	\$30,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$765.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$3,250.00	\$96,315.00	\$72,236.25	\$24,078.75
ADJC - RSAT Program	\$54,600.00	\$26,912.34	\$0.00	\$4,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$86,312.34	\$64,734.26	\$21,578.08
Chicanos Por La Causa	\$80,129.53	\$23,229.64	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,640.83	\$0.00	\$120,000.00	\$90,000.00	\$30,000.00
Yavapai County Sheriff's Office - Reach Out	\$79,579.80	\$46,832.72	\$0.00	\$3,050.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$129,462.52	\$97,096.89	\$32,365.63
TOTAL	\$274,309.33	\$127,874.70	\$0.00	\$7,850.00	\$765.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$16,640.83	\$3,250.00	\$432,089.86	\$324,067.40	\$108,022.46
Recommended													
Applicant Agency	Personnel			Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of State Travel	Operating			Equipment	TOTAL PROPOSED	Federal	Match Provided
	Salary	ERE	Overtime Expense				Registration/ Training	Supplies	Other Operating				
ADOCRR - Gemini Program	\$60,000.00	\$30,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$765.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$3,250.00	\$96,315.00	\$72,236.25	\$24,078.75
ADJC - RSAT Program	\$54,600.00	\$26,912.34	\$0.00	\$4,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$86,312.34	\$64,734.26	\$21,578.09
Chicanos Por La Causa	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$90,000.00	\$0.00	\$90,000.00	\$67,500.00	\$22,500.00
Yavapai County Sheriff's Office - Reach Out	\$79,579.80	\$46,832.72	\$0.00	\$3,050.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$129,462.52	\$97,096.89	\$32,365.63
TOTAL	\$194,179.80	\$104,645.06	\$0.00	\$7,850.00	\$765.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$90,000.00	\$3,250.00	\$402,089.86	\$301,567.40	\$100,522.47

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Committee Chair Steve Stahl</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Crime Victims Committee Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the Committee
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Heather Bohnet, Program Manager</i>
Agenda Title	<i>FY23 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program Awards</i>

Recommended Action	The Commission approves the award of \$500,000 in FY23 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds as indicated in Table VS-1 of the agenda.
Details/Discussion	The funding proposal in Table VS-1 reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided following this agenda item detailing the victim assistance grant program, the evaluation process, and proposed funding for FY23.
Fiscal Impact	Significant – Distribution of \$500,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY23.
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program FY 2023 Funding Recommendation

The Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program is established to provide grant awards to support direct services to crime victims in the State of Arizona. At the January 2022 meeting, the Commission approved a program size up to \$500,000 in victim assistance grant awards for FY23.

For the FY23 grant period, the Commission approved funding priorities that placed the highest emphasis on projects that are ineligible for DPS-VOCA assistance funding.

VOCA Ineligible
Fund projects identified as VOCA Assistance <i>ineligible</i> , for a period beginning July 1, 2022 and ending June 30, 2023. Projects must meet ACJC victim assistance grant program eligibility requirements.

Budget Category Prioritization

Priority was given to projects requesting funding to support Personnel Services and Employee Related Expenses.

Applications Received

On February 7, 2021, the FY23 Crime Victim Assistance Program grant application period opened. The deadline for submission of applications was Friday, March 18, 2022, at 3:00 p.m. Fifteen applications were submitted by the deadline. The following is a breakdown of applications received by funding tier:

Funding Tier	Applications Submitted	Funding Requested
VOCA ineligible	12	\$718,012.39
VOCA eligible	3	\$52,476.11
TOTAL	15	\$770,488.50

Evaluation and Scoring

All grant application requests were evaluated in accordance with A.R.S. § 41-2702. All applications received were reviewed and scored by three individuals including ACJC staff and outside evaluators. Scoring was based on the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program rules, application criteria, and priorities approved by the Commission.

FY 2023 Funding Recommendation

General Overview

ACJC Victim Services Staff recommends victim assistance grant awards totaling \$500,000 for FY23. This award total equals the \$500,000 program size approved by the Commission in January.

VOCA Ineligible Award Detail

This purpose area attempts to identify and prioritize funding for projects that are ineligible under the guidelines of the DPS-VOCA assistance grant program. Of the fifteen applications submitted under the VOCA ineligible purpose area, thirteen included budget requests that were determined to be DPS-VOCA assistance ineligible and in line with the priorities established by the Commission for an award. The activities included in these applications are the gap activities this purpose area set out to identify and support. The following is a list of those VOCA Ineligible activities and associated projects recommended for funding:

On-call time for direct victim service providers

- A. Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence
- B. Haven Family Resource Center
- C. Kingman Aid to Abused People
- D. North Country Healthcare
- E. Victim / Witness Services for Coconino County

Project personnel costs (other than direct service providers)

- A. Child Crisis Arizona
- B. Colorado River Regional Crisis Services
- C. Homicide Survivors

Civil Legal Support Services for Victims

- A. Arizona Crime Victim Rights Law Group

Training staff or volunteers for programs providing direct services to crime victims

- A. Arizona Coalition for Victim Services – Arizona Victim Assistance Academy & Mobile Advocacy Training Program
- B. Bloom365 - Trusted Adult Ally Training
- C. City of Tempe-CARE 7 – Trauma and Resilience Training

The score team recommended funding these projects to the degree the requests were determined to be VOCA Assistance ineligible, and the extent to which projects were aligned with the ACJC victim assistance grant program rules and funding priorities for the FY23 grant period.

TABLE VS-1 FY23 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE GRANT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

Applicant Agency	FY22 Awards	FY23 Requested Awards	FY23 Proposed Total Grant Awards
Apache County Attorney's Office	\$ -	\$ 21,305.91	\$ -
Arizona Coalition for Victim Services	\$ 43,490.50	\$ 54,260.64	\$ 49,260.64
Arizona Crime Victim Rights Law Group	\$ -	\$ 93,230.00	\$ 49,000.00
Bloom 365	\$ -	\$ 35,284.00	\$ 30,472.00
Child Crisis Arizona	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence Inc.	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 34,250.00	\$ 13,000.00
City of Tempe - Care 7	\$ 38,966.00	\$ 159,731.38	\$ 88,246.37
Colorado River Regional Crisis Services	\$ -	\$ 27,777.98	\$ 27,777.98
Gila County Attorney's Office	\$ -	\$ 5,800.00	\$ -
Haven Family Resource Center	\$ 17,368.00	\$ 25,532.00	\$ 25,532.00
Homicide Survivors	\$ -	\$ 97,808.26	\$ 48,904.13
Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	\$ 27,656.00	\$ 34,460.00	\$ 34,460.00
North Country Healthcare	\$ 32,800.00	\$ 40,600.00	\$ 32,800.00
Tucson Center for Women and Children DBA Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	\$ -	\$ 25,370.20	\$ -
Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	\$ 26,719.50	\$ 65,078.13	\$ 50,546.88
TOTAL	\$200,000.00	\$ 770,488.50	\$ 500,000.00

TABLE VS-2 FY23 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE GRANT FUNDING DISTRIBUTION

Funding Distribution by Organization Service Type	Number of Awards	% of Awards	\$ Amount Awarded	% of \$ Awarded
Domestic Violence/Sexual Asslt.	7	58%	214,042	43%
Legal Advocacy	1	8%	49,000	10%
Multiple Service Types	2	17%	138,793	28%
Notification Services	0	0%	0	0%
Other Specialized	2	17%	98,165	20%
TOTAL	12	100%	\$500,000	100%

Funding Distribution by Primary Service Area	Number of Awards	% of Awards	\$ Amount Awarded	% of \$ Awarded
State Wide	3	25%	128,733	26%
Apache County	0	0%	0	0%
Cochise County	0	0%	0	0%
Coconino County	2	17%	83,347	17%
Gila County	0	0%	0	0%
Graham County	0	0%	0	0%
Greenlee County	0	0%	0	0%
La Paz County	1	8%	27,778	6%
Maricopa County	3	25%	151,246	30%
Mohave County	2	17%	59,992	12%
Navajo County	0	0%	0	0%
Pima County	1	8%	48,904	10%
Pinal County	0	0%	0	0%
Santa Cruz County	0	0%	0	0%
Yavapai County	0	0%	0	0%
Yuma County	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	12	100%	\$500,000	100%

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Heather Bohnet, Program Manager</i>
Agenda Title	<i>FY22 Program Benefit Funding Reallocation</i>

Recommended Action	The Commission approves compensation funds for the current FY22 be reallocated to operational units in accordance with Table VS-3 of the agenda.
Details/Discussion	ACJC crime victim compensation program staff closely monitors county victim compensation expenditures throughout the fiscal year. Periodically it is necessary to adjust the original allocation to meet the demand for compensation benefits statewide. The purpose of these adjustments is to reallocate compensation funding to those counties with enough demand to expend additional compensation funds by the end of the fiscal year.
Fiscal Impact	Significant – Reallocation of up to \$57,000 in FY 2022 crime victim compensation funds to county programs.
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

TABLE VS-3

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM FY 2022 CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION REALLOCATION									
COUNTY	REQUESTED AMOUNT	REVISED FY22 ALLOCATION*	ARPA ALLOCATION	EXPENDITURES REPORTED AS OF 4/25/22*	PERCENTAGE EXPENDED AS OF 4/25/22	REALLOCATION	REVISED REMAINING ALLOCATION	REVISED TOTAL ALLOCATION	3 YR AVG TOTAL EXPENDITURES*
APACHE	\$ -	\$ 67,080	\$ -	\$ 22,722	33.87%	\$ (10,000)	\$ 34,358	\$ 57,080	\$ 72,082
COCHISE	-	72,461	-	50,818	70.13%	-	\$ 21,643	\$ 72,461	\$ 63,456
COCONINO	10,000	119,760	18,000	128,181	93.05%	7,000	\$ 16,579	\$ 144,760	\$ 194,971
GILA	-	38,341	-	24,923	65.00%	-	\$ 13,418	\$ 38,341	\$ 19,568
GRAHAM	-	32,520	-	453	1.39%	(27,000)	\$ 5,067	\$ 5,520	\$ 9,357
GREENLEE	-	18,715	-	4,000	21.37%	(10,000)	\$ 4,715	\$ 8,715	\$ 3,396
LA PAZ	-	35,447	-	8,216	23.18%	(10,000)	\$ 17,231	\$ 25,447	\$ 24,836
MARICOPA	660,000	1,659,500	140,000	1,636,875	90.96%	50,000	\$ 212,625	\$ 1,849,500	\$ 2,621,964
MOHAVE	-	143,725	18,000	99,796	61.71%	-	\$ 61,929	\$ 161,725	\$ 216,324
NAVAJO	-	93,173	6,000	46,662	47.05%	-	\$ 52,511	\$ 99,173	\$ 132,902
PIMA	-	464,312	43,000	419,709	82.73%	-	\$ 87,603	\$ 507,312	\$ 596,521
PINAL	-	181,636	15,000	104,523	53.16%	-	\$ 92,113	\$ 196,636	\$ 297,613
SANTA CRUZ	-	62,097	-	41,877	67.44%	-	\$ 20,220	\$ 62,097	\$ 61,407
YAVAPAI	-	117,659	18,000	99,013	72.99%	-	\$ 36,646	\$ 135,659	\$ 145,245
YUMA	-	143,574	42,000	129,374	69.72%	-	\$ 56,200	\$ 185,574	\$ 197,706
TOTAL	\$ 670,000	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 2,817,140	86.68%	\$ -	\$ 732,860	\$ 3,550,000	\$ 4,657,347

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Committee Chair David Byers</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Information Technology Systems Improvement Committee Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the Committee
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

<i>Presenter</i>	<i>Committee Chair David Sanders</i>
<i>Agenda Title</i>	<i>Legislative Committee Report</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Update on the Committee
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM**

Presenter	<i>Molly Edwards, Public Information Officer/Legislative Liaison</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Legislative</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	An update will be given on the legislative session
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACTION-MOTION / INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	<i>Andrew LeFevre, Executive Director</i>
Agenda Title	<i>Summary of Current Events</i>

Recommended Action	Information Item
Details/Discussion	Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02(K), individual Commission members may present brief summaries of current events, but no discussion may occur, and no action may be taken regarding anything that is presented.
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	None