#### Notice of Public Meeting

# ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION STATE CRISIS INTERVENTION PROGRAM CRISIS ADVISORY BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Advisory Board** and the general public that the **State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Advisory Board** will hold a meeting open to the public on **June 7**, **2023**, beginning at **1:00 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office**, **1110 W. Washington**, **Suite 245**, **Phoenix**, **Arizona 85007**. Members of the Committee may attend either in person or by telephone, video, or internet conferencing.

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

	Agenda Items	Presenter
I.	Call to Order and Roll Call	Chairperson
II.	Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes	Chairperson
	- N/A	P-F-T
III.	Background of State Crisis Intervention Program Grant and Role of Crisis Advisory Board	Tony Vidale Info
IV.	Approval of the Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program Plan	Tony Vidale P-F-T
V.	Approval of the Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program Budget	Tony Vidale P-F-T
VI.	Call to the Public	
	Those wishing to address the Advisory Roard need not request permission	n in advance. In order to facilitate

Those wishing to address the Advisory Board need not request permission in advance. In order to facilitate virtual participation in the meeting, members of the public wishing to address the Advisory Board will need to email dcadmin@azcjc.gov in advance of the meeting and provide the phone number where staff can reach you. Staff will email you an acknowledgment of your request and call you during the Call to the Public for your comment. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

#### VII. Next Meeting

The next State Crisis Intervention Advisory Board meeting will be held at the call of the Chair.

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Committee members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.

# ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION STATE CRISIS INTERVENTION PROGRAM CRISIS ADVISORY BOARD ACTION-MOTION/ INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	Chairperson, SCIPCAB
Agenda Title	Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes

Recommended Action	No Action Needed
Details/Discussion	N/A
Fiscal Impact	None
Alternatives Considered	Not Approve-Modify-Table

# ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION STATE CRISIS INTERVENTION PROGRAM CRISIS ADVISORY BOARD ACTION-MOTION/ INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	Tony Vidale	Deputy Director					
Agenda Title	Background of State Cri Crisis Advisory Boa		Program	Grant	and	Role	of

Recommended Action	For Information Only
Details/Discussion	Staff will offer a presentation on the background of the State Crisis Intervention Program Grant and the role of the State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Advisory Board.
Fiscal Impact	Info Only
Alternatives Considered	None or Not Approve-Modify-Table

IV

# ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION STATE CRISIS INTERVENTION PROGRAM CRISIS ADVISORY BOARD ACTION-MOTION/ INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	Tony Vidale	Deputy Director
Agenda Title	Approval of the Arizona St	ate Crisis Intervention Program Plan

Recommended Action	The State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Advisory Board approve the Arizona Crisis Intervention Program Plan.
Details/Discussion	See attached.
Fiscal Impact	Significant for recipient agencies
Alternatives Considered	None or Not Approve-Modify-Table

# Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program Plan

### **Table of Contents**

Goals of the Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program	5
SCIP Grant Overview	
Eligibility	6
Standard Competitive Grant Process	
Direct Submission Provision	7
Funding Priorities	8
Program Management and Reporting Requirements	8
Process for Awarding Subawards	8
Crisis Intervention Advisory Board	
Grant Project Approval Process	10
Plan for Collecting Required Data	

# Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program Plan

# Goals of the Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program

The Arizona State Crisis Intervention Program (AzSCIP) aims to significantly reduce gun violence and crime in the state through strategic funding of relevant initiatives and programs. Managed by the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission (ACJC), AzSCIP funds will be directed towards the following goals:

- Mitigate Gun Violence in Arizona: This entails reducing the risk populations for both instigating and becoming victims of gun violence, enhancing the availability and accessibility of crisis intervention services to high-risk individuals, increasing public awareness on gun violence prevention, and decreasing the number of illegal firearm holders.
- 2. Preserve Lives by Diminishing the Prevalence of Gun-Related Fatalities: The program seeks to reduce the occurrences of gun-related homicides and suicides.
- 3. Decrease the Frequency of Gun-Related Injuries: Efforts will be focused on reducing intentional and accidental injuries caused by firearms.

### **SCIP Grant Overview**

The SCIP Grant Program recognizes the critical importance of comprehensive, innovative, and multi-disciplinary approaches to tackling the complex issue of gun violence. The Grant Program is meticulously designed to support strategic interventions and initiatives in three priority sectors: Specialized Court-Based Programs, Behavioral Health, and Law Enforcement-Based Programs.

The Grant Program is designed to fund projects over a 24-month period, with an emphasis on initiatives that integrate evidence-based practices, robust data tracking and evaluation, and a commitment to community collaboration. Understanding that change takes time, the projects showing demonstrable progress and effectiveness may be given an option for renewal, thereby ensuring sustainability and long-term impact.

### Eligibility

The following entities are eligible to apply:

- State agencies
- Units of local government (city, county, township, town, or certain federally recognized American Indian tribes)
- Tribal government agencies
- Institutes of higher learning
- Behavioral Health
- Private and nonprofit neighborhood or community-based organizations

Examples of an organizational unit of government include, but are not limited to, a prosecutor's office, public defender's office, law enforcement agency, public health agency, or court system.

A State agency or unit of local government may contract or make subawards to one or more neighborhood or community-based organizations that are private and nonprofit.

For non-profit organizations, the following additional items must be submitted with the application:

- 1) A current board list that includes affiliations identifying current officers and provides the Chairman's contact information; and
- 2) One of the following:
  - (a) A copy of the IRS letter designating the organization as a 501(C)(3) non-profit
  - (b) Submission of a statement from the state taxing authority or state Secretary of State, or other similar official certifying that the organization is a non-profit operating within the state and that no part of its net earnings may lawfully benefit any private shareholder or individual.
  - (c) Submission of a certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation or similar document.
  - (d) Submission of any item above (a,b, or c), if that item applies to a state or national parent organization, together with a statement by the state or parent organization that the applicant is a local nonprofit affiliate.

### Standard Competitive Grant Process

The SCIP Grant Program shall primarily adhere to a competitive grant application and evaluation process as stipulated in ACJC's current grant procedures. This Standard Competitive Grant Application Process is outlined as follows:

- 1. Eligibility and Application: All eligible agencies, as defined by the SCIP Grant Program and the ACJC, are invited to submit grant applications for funding consideration within the prescribed application period. Each application must conform to the current ACJC grant application guidelines and requirements.
- 2. Evaluation Process: All submitted applications will undergo a comprehensive review and scoring process as per ACJC's existing evaluation procedures. This will involve an

- assessment of each project's alignment with the SCIP Grant Program's goals and objectives, its feasibility, budget justification, potential impact, and the applicant's capacity to successfully execute the project.
- Selection and Awarding: Crisis Advisory Board, guided by ACJC's evaluation results, will award grants based on merit, potential impact, and alignment with the Program's mission. This process will uphold the principles of fairness, transparency, and competitive opportunity.

This competitive grant process reaffirms the SCIP Grant Program's commitment to fostering innovation, promoting fairness, and maximizing the potential impact of funded projects in alignment with the Program's mission and goals.

#### **Direct Submission Provision**

In certain exceptional circumstances, the competitive application process may not always serve the best interests of the program, the applying agency, and the achievement of the program's outlined goals and objectives. Therefore, the Program establishes the Direct Submission Provision as described herein:

- 1. Eligibility for Direct Submission: An agency may be eligible to submit a project directly to the Program's Advisory Board for approval, bypassing the traditional competitive application process, if it demonstrates that such a course of action would be more conducive to effectively and efficiently meeting the Program's overall goals and objectives.
- 2. Criteria for Direct Submission: To exercise the Direct Submission Provision, the applying agency must provide a comprehensive justification outlining the following:
  - a. The urgent nature or unique opportunity of the project.
  - b. How the project aligns with the Program's mission and objectives.
  - c. How the direct submission would provide significant benefits that would be unattainable or severely hindered through the competitive process.
  - d. A detailed risk assessment demonstrating that the project has been thoroughly vetted and does not present an undue risk to the Program or its stakeholders.
- 3. Advisory Board's Role: Upon receipt of a direct submission application, the Advisory Board will conduct a rigorous review of the project, assessing its merits, the validity of the provided justification, and its alignment with the Program's goals. The Board holds the right to approve or deny the project or to require additional information or modifications before approval.
- 4. Transparency and Accountability: To uphold the integrity of the Program, all direct submissions, along with their justifications and the Advisory Board's decisions, will be documented and made publicly accessible, excluding sensitive or proprietary information.

5. Limitations and Oversight: The Direct Submission Provision is not to be utilized as a routine alternative to the competitive application process. It is designed solely for exceptional circumstances.

This provision is designed to maintain the flexibility and adaptability of the SCIP Grant Program, allowing it to effectively respond to diverse and emergent needs while preserving the fair and competitive spirit of the grant application process.

### **Funding Priorities**

#### 1. Specialized Court-Based Programs

This priority emphasizes initiatives such as drug, mental health, veterans treatment courts, and gun violence recovery courts. The scope also includes programs accepting clients with firearm violations and connecting clients in crisis with essential community resources.

#### 2. Behavioral Health

This priority is focused on supporting initiatives that provide behavioral health deflection and assertive community treatment. It supports triage services, mobile crisis units, peer support specialists, and behavioral threat assessment programs. The funding also encourages the use of technological supports such as smartphone applications and telehealth initiatives.

#### 3. Law Enforcement-Based Programs, Training, and Technology

This priority aims at enhancing the law enforcement sector's capacity to deal with firearm violence and related issues. It includes support for securing, storing, tracking, and returning relinquished guns, offering specialized training, and developing software/technologies for tracking relinquished firearms.

# Program Management and Reporting Requirements

In order to ensure accountability, transparency, and the effectiveness of the SCIP Grant Program, grantees will be required to submit quarterly activity and progress reports. These reports are critical for the ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the projects and enable us to identify challenges and successes in real-time, thereby promoting continuous learning and improvement.

# **Process for Awarding Subawards**

The AzSCIP, in accordance with the Byrne SCIP guidelines, will allocate a minimum of 40% of grant funding (less 10% administrative allocation) to local governments. This includes providing financial support to the state Administrative Office of the Courts for jurisdictions with populations

less than \$10,000. The process for awarding these subawards involves a comprehensive evaluation and approval process:

- 1. Competitive Grant Process: Potential sub-grantees submit applications through the ACJC's Grants Management System during a 6-week grant solicitation period.
- 2. Application Review: After the application deadline, a team of ACJC staff reviews the applications using a standard scoring rubric. They make funding recommendations based on these scores.
- 3. Approval by Crisis Intervention Advisory Board: The recommended projects are presented to the Crisis Intervention Advisory Board for approval.
- 4. Approval by ACJC Commission: The approved projects by the advisory board are then presented to the ACJC's Commission for consideration and approval. If the Commission makes any changes to the Advisory Board recommendation, the changes must be ratified by the Advisory Board.
- 5. Submission to the BJA for Final Approval: The final approved projects are submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) for approval via the Grant Adjustment Notice (GAM) system.
- 6. Disbursement of Funds: Upon approval by BJA, sub-grantee contracts are finalized, and funds are disbursed.

# Crisis Intervention Advisory Board

The Crisis Intervention Advisory Board is composed of representatives from law enforcement, community members, courts, prosecution, behavioral health providers, victim services, probation, and legal counsel. The board convenes to determine funding priorities in response to gun violence and crime prevention needs in Arizona. These priorities are then included in the statewide competitive grant solicitation.

The Crisis Advisory Board (CAB) is a diverse and dedicated team composed of influential figures from various sectors across the state of Arizona. This board is an integral part of the efforts to oversee and guide the SCIP grant program. Committed to ensuring the program's success, the board will play a pivotal role in approval processes, decision-making, and program governance.

The membership of the Crisis Advisory Board includes leaders and experts from different facets of the criminal justice and public safety systems, as well as representatives from the health sector. The diverse membership of the board comprises the Director of the Arizona Administrative Office of the Courts, the Chief of Police from the Oro Valley Police Department, the Maricopa County Sheriff, the Director of the Arizona Department of Public Safety, the Pima County Attorney, the Maricopa County Attorney, the Arizona Attorney General, a representative from the County Board of Supervisors, the Pima County Chief Probation Officer, the Director of the Arizona Crime Victim Rights Law Group, representatives from the Pinal County and Maricopa County Public Defender's Offices, and a representative from the behavioral health provider Connections Health Solutions.

The governance structure of the Crisis Advisory Board is designed to maintain a balance of power and ensure a democratic decision-making process. The board is charged with critical decisions such as approving the SCIP grant program plan, overall budget, and all grant projects. Furthermore, the board also maintains the authority to approve any changes to the grant budget or grant projects. This structure allows the board to uphold accountability, integrity, and transparency in the operations of the SCIP grant program.

The Crisis Advisory Board will convene as needed, with the administrative assistance provided by the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission (ACJC) staff. An assigned project manager from the ACJC will also aid in coordinating and facilitating these meetings. Board meetings will take place at the ACJC offices, offering flexibility for members to participate either in person or remotely, accommodating the busy schedules of the board members.

In the spirit of transparency and community involvement, the board's meetings will be public. This commitment to openness ensures that the decisions are made with the highest level of integrity and that the actions reflect the best interests of the communities they serve.

The Crisis Advisory Board is dedicated to overseeing the SCIP grant program's responsible and efficient use, ensuring the best outcomes for the community's safety and well-being.

# **Grant Project Approval Process**

The approval process for AzSCIP grant projects is a comprehensive and meticulous sequence of steps designed to ensure the effective utilization of funds toward achieving the defined program goals. The steps are as follows:

#### 1. Competitive Grant Solicitation and Application Submission

At the commencement of the grant process, a public announcement is made about the availability of funding. This announcement, known as the Grant Solicitation, includes information about the funding priorities, guidelines for application, and the submission deadline. Eligible agencies and non-profit organizations are then invited to submit applications through the ACJC's Grants Portal website. The application contains a detailed proposal of the project, including objectives, proposed activities, expected outcomes, and budget details.

#### 2. Application Review and Evaluation

After the application deadline, the ACJC Review Team begins the process of evaluating all received applications. The Review Team will consist of internal and external application reviewers. Each application is assessed based on a scoring rubric that considers various factors, including feasibility, relevance to the AzSCIP's goals, budget justification, and potential impact. This stage aims to identify the most promising and impactful projects that align with the AzSCIP's focus on reducing gun violence and crime.

#### 3. Grant Proposal Recommendation and Advisory Board Approval

Following the review, the ACJC Review Team compiles a list of recommended projects based on the scores from the evaluation process. These recommended projects are then presented to the Crisis Intervention Advisory Board for consideration. The Advisory Board reviews each recommended project thoroughly, considering the potential contribution of each to the program's goals, before giving their approval. The Advisory Board approval must then be submitted to the Commission for final approval. This approval is necessary for ACJC to have the authority to contract with subrecipients. Any change to the Advisory Board approval must go back to the Advisory Board for ratification.

#### 4. Submit Approved Projects to BJA for Approval

Once the Crisis Intervention Advisory Board gives its approval, the proposed projects are then submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) for final approval. The submission to BJA includes a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAM) containing the necessary project details.

#### 5. BJA Notifies ACJC of Approved Projects

After evaluating the submitted projects, the BJA communicates its decisions to the ACJC. Projects that have been approved by the BJA are ready to move into the implementation phase.

#### 6. ACJC Sends Out Grant Agreements for Approved Projects

Upon receipt of BJA approval, the ACJC prepares and sends out grant agreement documents to the entities whose projects have been approved. These documents detail the terms and conditions of the grant, the obligations of the sub-grantees, reporting requirements, and other pertinent information. Sub-grantees must sign and return these agreements to the ACJC as an acceptance of the terms.

#### 7. Grant Projects Can Begin

After the grant agreements have been signed and returned, the approved projects can commence. The start of these projects signifies the beginning of an important journey toward the reduction of gun violence and crime in Arizona and the improvement of public safety. Regular monitoring and evaluation activities will be carried out throughout the project period to ensure successful implementation and impact.

### Plan for Collecting Required Data

The ACJC will integrate the required Byrne SCIP performance measures into its application process, ensuring sub-grantees can collect the necessary data and meet the program goals. Partnering with the ACJC's Statistical Analysis Center (SAC), the ACJC will also oversee the data collection and program performance evaluation processes.

Sub-grantees are required to submit quarterly reports on their programmatic and fiscal activities, which are entered into the BJA Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) and the ACJC Reporting System. The ACJC grant coordinator will review these reports, ensuring data quality and completeness. If challenges arise regarding programming, goal attainment, or data collection and

reporting, technical assistance is available to sub-grantees. This thorough monitoring and reporting structure will enable the accurate tracking of progress and the successful implementation of the SCIP program in Arizona.

The Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) plays a vital role in the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission's (ACJC) strategic operations, serving as the research arm of the ACJC. The SAC's mandate is to gather, analyze, and report on the state of criminal justice in Arizona, effectively informing the development and implementation of programs and policies within the state's criminal justice system.

As the ACJC's research partner, the SAC takes on the responsibility of evaluating programs and policies as necessitated by the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission or legislative requirements. Functioning as Arizona's equivalent to the national Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), the SAC is an active member of the Justice Research and Statistics Association (JRSA), contributing significant statistical research and analysis that shape statewide policy in a myriad of areas.

- ❖ Data Collection: The SAC's primary responsibility in the SCIP grant program involves the systematic collection of data from various projects. This procedure entails gathering necessary information related to project activities, outputs, and outcomes, which are then used to evaluate performance. It ensures that all relevant data, whether quantitative or qualitative, is captured accurately and consistently, making it a critical part of the evaluation process.
- ❖ Data Analysis: Once data is collected, the SAC will analyze this information to gain meaningful insights about the projects. The analysis involves the interpretation of the collected data to understand the progress of each project and its alignment with the set goals and objectives. This phase is crucial in identifying patterns, trends, and discrepancies in the project data, which informs decision-making and further strategy development.
- ❖ Effectiveness Evaluation: Lastly, the SAC will utilize the goals and objectives of the SCIP grant to measure the performance and effectiveness of each project. This process allows for a quantifiable examination of how well each project is performing against the expected outcomes. It is a critical part of ensuring that the grant program is delivering the desired impact and achieving its overarching goals.

In addition to BJA's PMT, subrecipients will report activity data related to their specific projects into the ACJC Reporting System. The ACJC Reporting System is designed to collect various criminal justice data from law enforcement agencies, courts, corrections facilities, and other relevant entities across the state. The system serves as a centralized repository for ACJC grant program data, allowing for efficient data management and analysis. The system allows authorized agencies and organizations to submit and upload their criminal justice data securely. This can include information such as arrests, convictions, sentencing, probation, and other relevant data points. The ACJC Reporting System provides tools for analyzing and querying collected data from grant project activities. Users can run predefined or custom queries to generate reports and statistical summaries, and data visualizations.

V

# ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION STATE CRISIS INTERVENTION PROGRAM CRISIS ADVISORY BOARD ACTION-MOTION/INFORMATION ITEM

Presenter	Tony Vidale	Deputy Director
Agenda Title	Approval of the Arizona St	ate Crisis Intervention Program Budget

Recommended Action	The State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Advisory Board approve the grant program budget as displayed in <i>Table 1</i> .
Details/Discussion	See attached.
Fiscal Impact	Significant for recipient agencies
Alternatives Considered	None or Not Approve-Modify-Table

Table 1

Arizona State Crisis Interve	ention Program Budget
Total Grant Award	\$6,111,652
Administrative Allocation:	
Personnel	\$223,697
Fringe Benefits	\$96,192
Travel	\$7,336
Supplies	\$857
Other Operating Expenses	\$283,083
Total (10% of Total Award)	\$611,165
Subaward Funding:	
State-level Awards	\$3,300,292
Local Pass-through Awards	\$2,008,754
Less than \$10,000 Jurisdictions	\$191,441
Total Subward Funding	\$5,500,487

Table 2

Less Than \$10,000 Local Jurisdictions		
JURISDICTION	COUNTY	AWARD
APACHE COUNTY	APACHE	\$1,993
EAGAR TOWN	APACHE	\$862
SPRINGERVILLE TOWN	APACHE	\$296
ST JOHNS CITY	APACHE	\$1,185
BISBEE CITY	COCHISE	\$4,444
COCHISE COUNTY	COCHISE	\$7,703
DOUGLAS CITY	COCHISE	\$2,182
HUACHUCA CITY TOWN	COCHISE	\$162
SIERRA VISTA City	COCHISE	\$8,355
TOMBSTONE CITY	COCHISE	\$512
WILLCOX CITY	COCHISE	\$1,616
COCONINO COUNTY	COCONINO	\$9,212
FREDONIA TOWN	COCONINO	\$162
PAGE CITY	COCONINO	\$7,246
WILLIAMS CITY	COCONINO	\$1,859
HAYDEN TOWN	GILA	\$512
MIAMI TOWN	GILA	\$2,047
PAYSON TOWN	GILA	\$4,848
GRAHAM COUNTY	GRAHAM	\$2,343
PIMA TOWN	GRAHAM	\$108
SAFFORD CITY	GRAHAM	\$2,047
THATCHER TOWN	GRAHAM	\$108
CLIFTON TOWN	GREENLEE	\$54
GREENLEE COUNTY	GREENLEE	\$108
LA PAZ COUNTY	LA PAZ	\$6,518
PARKER TOWN	LA PAZ	\$404
QUARTZSITE TOWN	LA PAZ	\$889
BUCKEYE TOWN	MARICOPA	\$8,565
EL MIRAGE CITY	MARICOPA	\$6,626
PARADISE VALLEY TOWN	MARICOPA	\$539
TOLLESON CITY	MARICOPA	\$5,306
WICKENBURG TOWN	MARICOPA	\$1,670
COLORADO CITY TOWN	MOHAVE	\$350
KINGMAN CITY	MOHAVE	\$9,400
LAKE HAVASU CITY	MOHAVE	\$7,946
HOLBROOK CITY	NAVAJO	\$2,720
NAVAJO COUNTY	NAVAJO	\$4,767
PINETOP-LAKESIDE TOWN	NAVAJO	\$2,801
SHOW LOW CITY	NAVAJO	\$4,794
SNOWFLAKE TOWN	NAVAJO	\$3,609
WINSLOW CITY	NAVAJO	\$7,434
MARANA TOWN	PIMA	\$2,909
ORO VALLEY TOWN	PIMA	\$1,508
SAHUARITA TOWN	PIMA	\$2,936

SOUTH TUCSON CITY	PIMA	\$3,798
APACHE JUNCTION CITY	PINAL	\$7,272
COOLIDGE CITY	PINAL	\$3,986
ELOY CITY	PINAL	\$6,680
FLORENCE TOWN	PINAL	\$2,613
KEARNY TOWN	PINAL	\$323
MAMMOTH TOWN	PINAL	\$162
MARICOPA CITY	PINAL	\$7,246
SUPERIOR TOWN	PINAL	\$781
NOGALES CITY	SANTA CRUZ	\$3,367
PATAGONIA TOWN	SANTA CRUZ	\$81
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	SANTA CRUZ	\$162
AK CHIN INDIAN COMMUNITY OF PAPAGO		
INDIANS	Tribal	\$189
COCOPAH TRIBAL	Tribal	\$673
COLORADO RIVER AGENCY (BIA)	Tribal	\$54
COLORADO RIVER INDIAN TRIBES	Tribal	\$2,101
FORT APACHE TRIBE	Tribal	\$2,559
FORT MCDOWELL MOHAVE-APACHE INDIAN		
COMMUNITY	Tribal	\$1,131
FORT MCDOWELL TRIBAL	Tribal	\$1,104
FORT MOJAVE TRIBE OF AZ	Tribal	\$1,239
HOPI TRIBE	Tribal	\$2,316
HUALAPAI TRIBE	Tribal	\$1,993
KAIBAB PAIUTE TRIBAL	Tribal	\$81
PASCUA YAQUI TRIBE	Tribal	\$2,667
QUECHAN TRIBAL	Tribal	\$539
TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION OF ARIZONA	Tribal	\$9,804
TONTO APACHE INDIANS	Tribal	\$108
TRUXTON CANON TRIBAL	Tribal	\$808
YAVAPAI APACHE TRIBE	Tribal	\$512
YAVAPAI-PRESCOTT TRIBE	Tribal	\$189
CAMP VERDE TOWN	YAVAPAI	\$2,963
CHINO VALLEY TOWN	YAVAPAI	\$3,986
CLARKDALE TOWN	YAVAPAI	\$404
COTTONWOOD CITY	YAVAPAI	\$2,936
JEROME TOWN	YAVAPAI	\$108
PRESCOTT VALLEY TOWN	YAVAPAI	\$6,626
SEDONA CITY	YAVAPAI	\$2,559
SAN LUIS CITY	YUMA	\$2,289
SOMERTON CITY	YUMA	\$1,993
WELLTON TOWN	YUMA	\$539